# Observed and predicted responses of plant growth to climate across Canada

Andrew G. Bunn, Scott J. Goetz, and Gregory J. Fiske

Woods Hole Research Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA

Received 2 June 2005; revised 13 July 2005; accepted 28 July 2005; published 25 August 2005.

[1] Using satellite observations from 1981–2000, and data interpolated from surface weather stations, we examined the association between gross photosynthetic activity (Pg) and climate across the boreal forest and tundra of Canada. The response of annual and interannual Pg was tightly coupled to climate, and seasonal associations between Pg and climate varied with plant functional types. The most important variable for modeling summer growth of conifer forests was the previous spring minimum temperature, whereas tundra responded primarily to summer maximum temperature. Using general circulation model predictors to 2050, we project that tundra will continue to grow vigorously in the coming decades while conifer forests will not. Increased tundra productivity will likely be associated with changes in vegetation composition (e.g., woody proliferation). If these biotic responses are stationary and persist as predicted, terrestrial carbon budgets will need to be modified. Citation: Bunn, A. G., S. J. Goetz, and G. J. Fiske (2005), Observed and predicted responses of plant growth to climate across Canada, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L16710, doi:10.1029/2005GL023646.

## 1. Introduction

[2] Considerable evidence indicates that late 20th century temperatures were anomalous in relation to the last 1,800 years [Moberg et al., 2005] and are impacting species productivity and physiology, and altering the distributions of many species [Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Root et al., 2003]. This is readily apparent in the rapidly changing northern high latitudes [Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA), 2004]. Changes in productivity resulting from high latitude warming in recent decades have also been associated with changes in the interannual variability of the carbon cycle [Keeling et al., 1996; Myneni et al., 1997; Lucht et al., 2002]. Interpreting the response of plant growth at high latitudes to climate is crucial for understanding the feedbacks between temperature, land cover and atmospheric CO2 [Houghton, 2003].

[3] Space-based remote sensing allows vegetation to be consistently and continuously measured. Global vegetation has been monitored since 1981 using the normalized differenced vegetation index (NDVI) derived from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometers (AVHRR) [Brown et al., 2004]. The NDVI data, at 8-km resolution and 15-day return interval, leverage the contrast in reflectance between the infrared and red portions of the electromagnetic spectrum and are well correlated to chloroplast

Copyright 2005 by the American Geophysical Union. 0094-8276/05/2005GL023646\$05.00

density and absorption of photosynthetically active radiation. Together these serve as a strong proxy for gross photosynthesis (Pg) at this spatial scale [Myneni et al., 1995; Goetz and Prince, 1999]. When merged with climate data from ground-based stations, the satellite record allows us to infer the relationship between climate, growth, and functional type on an interannual basis [Goward and Prince, 1995; Xiao and Moody, 2004].

[4] Our objectives were to model Pg as a function of climate to understand recent trends in the satellite observational record as a function of plant functional type, and to explore the potential for future change. Specifically, we sought to 1) model annual Pg; 2) model summer plant growth for different cover types (e.g., conifer forest) as a function of seasonal climate variables (e.g., spring precipitation); and 3) use the seasonal Pg model to predict to the year 2050 using General Circulation Models (GCMs).

### 2. Study Area and Data

[5] We examined the entire Canadian boreal forest and associated tundra areas. The forested areas are dominated by needle-leaf evergreen species that have adapted to long, cold winters (e.g., Picea mariana and Abies balsamea) intermixed with broadleaf species (e.g., Populus tremuloides). Tundra areas are composed of grass species, lichens, sedges, mosses, and dwarf shrubs (e.g., Betula nana and Ledum groenlandicum).

[6] The AVHRR-NDVI data were produced as part of the NASA Global Inventory, Monitoring and Modeling project. They are the most current AVHRR data on rectified Earth surface reflectance and have been calibrated to account for orbital drift, cloud cover, sensor degradation, and the emission of volcanic aerosols [Brown et al., 2004; Tucker et al., 2005]. We linearly transformed the NDVI measurements to gross photosynthetic activity ranging from  $0-1$ [Goetz and Prince, 1999; Goetz et al., 2005].

[7] We compared the Pg record to minimum and maximum monthly temperature and total monthly precipitation from Canada interpolated from ground-based stations on a 10-km grid through January 2000 by the Canadian government's Regional, National and International Climate Modelling project [McKenney, 2004]. The Pg data were resampled to a one-month time interval and 10-km spatial resolution to match the climate data, which are spatially and temporally coarser.

[8] We used a map of Canada's vegetation to aggregate Pg and climate models [Palko et al., 1995]. This map allowed us to stratify by plant functional types characterized broadly as needleleaf evergreen and deciduous forests



Figure 1. The seasonal cycle of plant growth, temperature, and precipitation from a random sample of 100 million ha in boreal Canada (left). A random forest that models Pg as a function of climate captures 98.6% of the variance in a separate 100 million ha sample (right). See color version of this figure in the HTML.

(continuous forest in which  $76-100\%$  of the canopy was coniferous or broadleaf trees respectively), transitional forest (the northern forest extent where trees are discernible but occupy less than 50% of the area), and tundra. Agricultural, rangeland, and developed areas were omitted to minimize land management influences on Pg.

[9] GCM simulations developed as part of the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment were used to predict future growth trends [ACIA, 2004; Kattsov and Källén, 2004]. The composite GCM included five recent-generation models that output monthly temperature and precipitation data arrayed on a  $2.5^\circ$  grid.

## 3. Statistical Modeling of Plant Growth and Climate

[10] Breiman and Cutler's random forests (RF) ensemble prediction method was used to model Pg and climate [Breiman, 2001; Liaw and Wiener, 2002]. In the RF algorithm, prediction is obtained by aggregating regression trees constructed using different random samples of the data (i.e., bootstrap aggregation or ''bagging'' [Breiman, 1996]), and choosing splits of the trees from subsets of the available predictors, randomly chosen at each node [Breiman, 2001]. This ensemble regression method is a non-parametric approach for generating and combining decision trees. The RF models in this study were obtained by aggregating 1,000 regression trees. The RF model was tuned so that the number of variables tried at each split was optimized with respect to out-of-bag error estimates (the portion of the data not drawn into the sample) [Liaw and Wiener, 2002]. To perform the modeling, we randomly subset 200 million hectares. Half of the data were used to build the models and half to test the accuracy. All analysis was done with the randomForest package [Liaw and Wiener, 2002] in the R programming environment [R Core Development Team, 2005].

[11] The high latitudes of Canada experience severe temperature and precipitation seasonality. Using all the data (every month) we constructed a model whose predictions captured 98.6% of the variance in the withheld Pg data (Figure 1). This comparison indicated that seasonal climate and vegetation conditions are closely coupled, as predicted by bioclimatologic theory and dynamic global vegetation models [Lucht et al., 2002].

[12] Our goal was to look beyond the magnitude and trends of Pg in the northern high latitudes, which has been the subject of considerable research using the AVHRR-NDVI record [e.g., Myneni et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 2001; Slayback et al., 2003; Goetz et al., 2005] and investigate the seasonal climate correlates of Pg in four plant functional types: conifer, deciduous, and transitional forests and tundra. While satellite proxies of Pg have a dynamic annual range, interannual changes in the signal are more than an order of magnitude smaller and more difficult to detect [Jenkins et al., 2002; Xiao and Moody, 2004]. Cold and marginal environments represent the best opportunity for detecting patterns in interannual variability [Goward and Prince, 1995; Jenkins et al., 2002]. We aggregated the time series for Pg and climate into four seasons: winter (DJF), spring (MAM), summer (JJA), and fall (SON). Seasonal climate data ranging from the previous spring through current summer were used to predict summer Pg because many plants, especially long-lived conifers, maintain growth stores from previous years [Larcher, 1995].

[13] The RF models predicted between 60–89% of the variance in the withheld summer Pg data, with predictive power increasing with the latitude of the cover types (Figure 2). The importance of the variables in the models was assessed by looking at each variable's contribution to the ensemble prediction. That is, for each variable the mean square error was computed on the out-of-bag data for each tree, and then recomputed after permuting the variable 100 times. The differences were averaged and normalized by the standard error to produce a measure of importance [Breiman, 2001].

[14] All the forest groupings we examined ranked spring variables as the most important for summer Pg. The predictions for conifer forests were most strongly influenced by the previous spring minimum temperature. This association for Pg and minimum temperatures has been noted for woodlands [Xiao and Moody, 2004] and grasslands [Alward et al., 1999] and has been important for modeling boreal forest net ecosystem productivity [Frolking, 1997]. Tree growth is often more strongly correlated to weather of the previous year due to needle longevity, deep rooting systems



Figure 2. The importance of the top three variables for the RF model of summer Pg for four plant functional types (left). The fit between the predicted Pg and the test data is shown on the right.

and a host of other physiological factors [Fritts, 2001]. At high latitude and high elevation, spring temperatures are often the most critical for establishing the year's growth trajectory due to the timing of snow melt [Frolking, 1997;

Peterson and Peterson, 2001]. Deciduous forest predictions also used spring minimum temperature for predicting summer Pg, and included both the current and previous year spring minimum temperature. This indicates the importance of canopy establishment in deciduous trees, which regenerate each year and are tightly bound by early growing-season conditions [Barr et al., 2004]. Growth in deciduous forest was, however, more difficult to predict, possibly because vapor pressure deficit and soil moisture products were not included in (or available for) the current analysis. Whereas we attempted to develop models that were based on widely available weather data sets, additional bioclimatic variables may improve predictions [*Larcher*, 1995].

[15] The high latitude transitional forest predictions keyed on the current year's spring precipitation. We interpret this as the growth response to late lying snow cover in these higher latitude sites that determines the rate and depth of thaw. The tundra areas were the only cover type to include a summer variable as the primary predictor of growth, keying most strongly on maximum temperature. Tundra plants generally have shallow rooting systems due to the prevalence of permafrost and saturated surface soils. As a result, the tundra areas were highly dependent on summer temperatures for growth, which has been increasing over the satellite observation period [ACIA, 2004].

#### 4. Future Predictions of Summer Plant Growth

[16] Recent research indicates that trends from 1982 to 2003 in conifer forest Pg were negligible or slightly negative, while trends in tundra areas were generally positive [*Goetz et al.*, 2005]. We sought to project future trends in Pg using the noted responses to climate as modeled above. The GCM data were registered to the Pg data and the vegetation map and monthly climate was extracted. When the GCM climate fields were used to project future Pg with the RF model, the observed trends in Pg were predicted to continue until 2050 (Figure 3). These projections assume, however, that plant growth response to climate will remain the same as during the two-decade observational period (1982 – 2000), and do not directly account for the ecological implications of, e.g., greater depths of seasonal thaw [Goulden et al., 1998] or increased woody encroachment in tundra areas [Sturm et al., 2001]. Woody encroachment, in particular, has the potential to change the Pg trends in tundra areas as shrubs are released and respond to different climate forcings than the



Figure 3. Projections for summer Pg for conifer forest and tundra using the composite GCM data through 2050. Fits between the models and the historical data are outlined in gray through 2000. See color version of this figure in the HTML.

herbs they replace. It is also possible that relationships observed will not hold if the climate system and ecosystems change in non-linear ways. Despite these caveats, this interplay between climate and cover types suggest that assumptions about the ubiquitous greening of high latitudes in response to global warming are overly simplistic. Our model results based on composite GCM predictions indicate that tundra Pg will approach that of conifer forests by 2020.

#### 5. Conclusions

[17] Our results demonstrate climatic control over subcontinental-scale Pg, as observed with widely available weather station variables and satellite observational data sets. The importance of different variables in modeling summer Pg reflects the autecology of the plant functional types characterized by each broad vegetation cover type analyzed. Understanding the associations between plant growth and climate are critical given the ensemble predictions of anthropogenic-induced warming in high latitudes [ACIA, 2004]. Changes in high latitude plant growth could affect the carbon cycle in terms of terrestrial sequestration of carbon in standing stocks [Houghton, 2003] and woody encroachment of trees into tundra areas [Sturm et al., 2001]. Changes in plant growth can also cause feedbacks to the climate system by way of changing surface albedo and disturbance regimes [ACIA, 2004]. Our results indicate that different vegetation cover types respond uniquely to climate, and that changes in growth are likely to be specific to plant functional types. Predictions through 2050 indicate that tundra ecosystems will continue to increase in photosynthetic activity relative forest ecosystems. Models that predict the interplay between climate and vegetation need to account for these differential plant-type responses in order to adequately capture the direction and magnitude of growth trends taking place under a dynamic climate system.

[18] Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge support from NOAAs Carbon Cycle Science Program.

#### References

- Alward, R. D., J. K. Detling, and D. G. Milchunas (1999), Grassland vegetation changes and nocturnal global warming, Science, 283, 229 – 231.
- Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (2004), Impacts of a Warming Arctic— Arctic Climate Impact Assessment Overview Report, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- Barr, A. G., T. A. Black, E. H. Hogg, N. Kljun, K. Morgenstern, and Z. Nesic (2004), Inter-annual variability in the leaf area index of a boreal aspen-hazelnut forest in relation to net ecosystem production, Agric. For. Meteorol., 126, 237-255.
- Breiman, L. (1996), Bagging predictors, Mach. Learning, 26, 123 140.
- Breiman, L. (2001), Random forests, Mach. Learning, 45, 5-32.
- Brown, M. E., J. E. Pinzon, and C. J. Tucker (2004), New vegetation index data set to monitor global change, Eos Trans. AGU, 85, 565 – 569.
- Fritts, H. C. (2001), Tree Rings and Climate, Blackburn Press, Caldwell, N. J.
- Frolking, S. (1997), Sensitivity of spruce/moss boreal forest net ecosystem productivity to seasonal anomalies in weather, J. Geophys. Res., 102,  $29,053 - 29,064.$
- Goetz, S. J., and S. D. Prince (1999), Modeling terrestrial carbon exchange and storage: Evidence and implications of functional convergence in light use efficiency, Adv. Ecol. Res., 28, 57-92.
- Goetz, S. J., A. G. Bunn, G. J. Fiske, and R. A. Houghton (2005), Satellite observed photosynthetic trends across boreal North America associated with climate and fire disturbance, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., in press.
- Goulden, M. L., et al. (1998), Sensitivity of boreal forest carbon balance to soil thaw, Science, 279, 214-217.
- Goward, S. N., and S. D. Prince (1995), Transient effects of climate on vegetation dynamics: Satellite observations, J. Biogeogr., 22, 549 – 564. Houghton, R. A. (2003), Why are estimates of the terrestrial carbon balance
- so different?, Global Change Biol., 9, 500-509. Jenkins, J. P., B. H. Braswell, S. E. Frolking, and J. D. Aber (2002),
- Detecting and predicting spatial and interannual patterns of temperate forest springtime phenology in the eastern US, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29(24), 2201, doi:10.1029/2001GL014008.
- Kattsov, V. M., and E. Källén (2004), Future climate change: Modeling and scenarios for the Arctic, in Impacts of a Warming Arctic--Arctic Climate Impact Assessment Scientific Report, edited by Arctic Climate Impact Assessment, pp. 100-144, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- Keeling, C. D., J. F. S. Chin, and T. P. Whorf (1996), Increased activity of northern vegetation inferred from atmospheric CO2 measurements, Nature, 382, 146-149.
- Larcher, W. (1995), Physiological Plant Ecology: Ecophysiology and Stress Physiology of Functional Groups, 3rd ed., Springer, New York.
- Liaw, A., and M. Wiener (2002), Classification and regression by random-Forest, R News, 2, 18-22.
- Lucht, W., I. C. Prentice, R. B. Myneni, S. Sitch, P. Friedlingstein, W. Cramer, P. Bousquet, W. Buermann, and B. Smith (2002), Climatic control of the high-latitude vegetation greening trend and Pinatubo effect, Science, 296, 1687-1689.
- McKenney, D. (2004), Regional, national and international climate modelling, Nat. Resour. Can., Can. For. Serv., Great Lakes For. Cent., Sault Ste. Marie, Ont., Canada. (Available at http://www.glfc.cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/ landscape/)
- Moberg, A., D. M. Sonechkin, K. Holmgren, N. M. Datsenko, and W. Karlen (2005), Highly variable Northern Hemisphere temperatures reconstructed from low- and high-resolution proxy data, Nature, 433,  $613 - 617$ .
- Myneni, R. B., F. G. Hall, P. J. Sellers, and A. L. Marshak (1995), The interpretation of spectral vegetation indexes, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 33, 481-486.
- Myneni, R. B., C. D. Keeling, C. J. Tucker, G. Asrar, and R. R. Nemani (1997), Increased plant growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991, Nature, 386, 698 – 702.
- Palko, S., L. St-Laurent, T. Huffman, and E. Unrau (1995), Canada vegetation and land cover: A raster and vector data set for GIS applications— Uses in agriculture, paper presented at 9th Annual Symposium on GIS, GIS World, Inc., Vancouver B. C., Canada.
- Parmesan, C., and G. Yohe (2003), A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems, Nature, 421, 37 – 42.
- Peterson, D. W., and D. L. Peterson (2001), Mountain hemlock growth responds to climatic variability at annual and decadal time scales, Ecology, 82, 3330 – 3345.
- R Core Development Team (2005), R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Found. for Stat. Comput., Vienna.
- Root, T. L., J. T. Price, K. R. Hall, S. H. Schneider, C. Rosenzweig, and J. A. Pounds (2003), Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants, Nature, 421, 57-60.
- Slayback, D. A., J. E. Pinzon, S. O. Los, and C. J. Tucker (2003), Northern Hemisphere photosynthetic trends 1982-99, Global Change Biol., 9,  $1 - 15$ .
- Sturm, M., C. Racine, and K. Tape (2001), Climate change—Increasing shrub abundance in the Arctic, Nature, 411, 546-547.
- Tucker, C. J., J. E. Pinzon, M. E. Brown, D. Slayback, E. W. Pak, R. Mahoney, E. Vermote, and N. El Saleous (2005), An extended AVHRR 8-km NDVI data set compatible with MODIS and SPOT vegetation NDVI data, Int. J. Remote Sens., in press.
- Xiao, J. F., and A. Moody (2004), Photosynthetic activity of US biomes: Responses to the spatial variability and seasonality of precipitation and temperature, Global Change Biol., 10, 437-451.
- Zhou, L. M., C. J. Tucker, R. K. Kaufmann, D. Slayback, N. V. Shabanov, and R. B. Myneni (2001), Variations in northern vegetation activity inferred from satellite data of vegetation index during 1981 to 1999, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 20,069-20,083.

A. G. Bunn, G. J. Fiske, and S. J. Goetz, Woods Hole Research Center, Box 296, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. (abunn@whrc.org)